NOTES #59 THE Electrifying WORLD OF ELECTROCHEMISTRY AP Chemistry

What is electrochemistry? It is the conversion of electrical energy to chemical energy (or vise versa) using REDOX Rxns.

1. Keview of Red	dox Kxns and 1/2 rxns	Ex: $Zn + FeCl_2> ZnCl_2 + Fe$	OX:
Ox Agent:	Red Agent:		RED:
II Doduction Do	otontiala		
stable) to <u>Low Pote</u> analogous to water from a waterfall fle	tion has an electric potential or voltage ential (more stable). Voltage is a mea r flow; water flows downhill (high to	e associated with it. Why? Electrons, like heat, travisure of the driving force for electron transfer from low potential E), energy release can be harnessed to vity. To get the water in the pool beneath the water of energy).	high to low potential. The process is to produced electricity. Water flowing
2. STANDARD R	EDUCTION POTENTIALS TABLE	- pg 766 in book.	
a. E at standard co	onditions -	b. All 1/2 reactions are written as	rxns. To get E for the oxidation reaction,
just look at reactio	ons backwards and <i>change the sign</i> for	$r E^{\bullet}$. EX: RED: $Li^{+} + e^{-} - Li(s) E^{\bullet} = -3.05 V$ or	OX: $Li(s)> Li^+ + e^- E^- =$
independent of # o	niometric coefficients DOES NOT affort the times the reaction must occur. I	ect the value of E [•] . Why? Electric potentials are	properties, fon, however.
d. The more positi	ve E [•] , the MORE favorable! Q: Whic	th substance on the table is most easily reduced (the	bestagent)?
Q: Notice which so	orts of substances are most easily oxid	dized?	Does this make sense?
e. You can use this $Mg(s) + Fe^{2+}$		RIES! EX: Using this table, will Mg (s) displace	Fe ²⁺ in this single replacement reaction:
f. How did someon	ne come up with this table? The E va	llue for the reduction rxn of H^+ to H_2 (g), $2H^+ + 2$	e> H ₂ (g), has been arbitrarily set to
ZERO. Every othe	er E value was determined <i>relative</i> to	this.	
II. Calculating I	E for a Redox Reaction. This in	nvolves a THREE step process:	
_		xns (3) Add the E values from the two half real	actions $E_T = E_{ox} + E_{red}$
EX: Calculate E	• for: $Zn(s) + 2 Ag^{+} - Zn^{2+}$	$+2 \text{ Ag (s) E}^{\bullet} = ?$	
OX:			
RED:			
III. ELECTROCI 1. Galvanic or Vol	HEMICAL CELLS Itaic Cells	EX: $Zn(s) + Cu^{2+}> Zn^{2+} + Cu(s) E^{\bullet} = 1.5$	10V OX:
a. Involves a SPO!b. Batteries are coic. General set-up.	NTANEOUS redox rxn. E value has mmon examples of galvanic cells!!	to be	RED:

- 2. Electrolytic Cells

a. Involves a NON-SPONTANEOUS redox rxn. E^{\bullet} value has to be _____. An outside voltage source is needed to FORCE this non-spontaneous rxn to take place.

b. Electrolysis reactions (Hofmann Apparatus) and electroplating processes (like the Cu plating lab we did....) are examples.

c. General Set-up

EX:
$$Cu(s) + Cu^{2+}(aq) -----> Cu^{2+}(aq)(g) + Cu$$

OX:

RED:

d. Common Electroplating Question.

Ex: What mass of Cu could be plated out by electrolyzing CuCl₂ (aq) for 16.0 hrs at a constant current of 3.00 amperes.

- 1. Calculate CHARGE. Remember Amperes x Seconds = AmpSeconds What is another name for ampsec?

2. Convert Coulombs to moles of e. Use the Faraday constant as a conversion factor: 1 Faraday (F) or 1 mol of e = 96,500 Coulombs

3. Using a balanced equation, convert from moles of e to moles of metal. Convert moles of metal to grams.....Can use determine Molar Mass of a Metal too.....

IV. E, ΔG and Equilibrium....1. KEY EQUATIONS: (for derivations of equations, read in book - pg 769 - 770)

a.
$$\Delta G^{\circ} = - nFE^{\circ}$$

G = Gibb's Free Energy (kJ/mol)

F = Faraday's constant: 1 mol of e has a total charge of 96,500 Coulombs

n = # of e (in moles) transferred in redox rxn

E = Standard rxn potential (V)

b.
$$\mathbf{E}^{\circ} = \mathbf{RT} \, \mathbf{ln} \mathbf{K}$$

R=8.31J/mol·K

$$T = Temp in K$$

nF

K = Equilibrium constant

n. F. and E are same as above!

OR

 $K = nE^{\circ}/0.0592$

- 2. Effect of changing concentrations on E?
- a. Up until now, all cell potentials have been at standard conditions of 1M solutions. What if we are NOT using a 1M soln?

EX: Consider the following:

$$Cu(s) + 2 Ce^{4+} \rightarrow Cu^{2+} + 2 Ce^{3+} E^{\circ} = 1.36 V$$

If [Ce⁴⁺] is INCREASED, what will happen to E? THINK about Le Chatelier's Principle!

If [Cu²⁺] and [Ce³⁺] were INCREASED, E would

b. You can calculate E at non-standard conditions by using the NERST EQUATION.

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}^{\circ} - \underline{0.0592} \ln \mathbf{Q}$$

EX: Consider the following:

$$2 \text{ Al (s)} + 3 \text{ Mn}^{2+} ----> 2 \text{ Al}^{3+} + 3 \text{ Mn (s)}$$
 $E^{\circ} = 0.48 \text{ V}$

$$\underline{E}^{\circ} = 0.48 \text{ V}$$

If $[Mn^{2+}] = 0.5 M$ and $[Al^{3+}] = 1.5 M$, what would E be?

*** Notice what happened to E? It . Is this consistent with what you would expect from LeChatelier?